



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,285	02/01/2001		Kimberlee A. Kemble	6169-149	8255
40987	7590	07/30/2004		EXAMINER	
AKERMA]	N SENT	ERFITT	NARAYANASWAMY, SINDYA		
P. O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2174	
			•		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/775,285	KEMBLE ET AL.					
Autiony Audin	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sindya Narayanaswamy	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in inths after the mailing date of the final reje	efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		congrete timely filed amandmass.					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	DEAT ASS.						
Claim(s) objected to:	BEST AVAILAB	LE COPY					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .		- u					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- 10					
10. Other:		4					
BEST A	MAII ADI E AADY P	Stzven sax Rhvary examiner					

٠٤٠

The amendment filed 5/10/2004 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection will be entered upon appeal, but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Contrary to the Applicant's arguments, the Examiner maintains that Gould does teach the method of presenting database query results (col. 4, line 49-67). When the system receives a user's speech (query) the CPU determines whether it is recognizable, and then proceeds to display the results (col. 6, lines 18-34).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY